Fig. 1

From: The FRAME-IS: a framework for documenting modifications to implementation strategies in healthcare

Module 1: BRIEFLY DESCRIBE the EBP, implementation strategy, and modification(s)	Module 3: What is the NATURE of the content, evaluation, or training modification?	Module 4, Part 1: What is the GOAL?
The FRE Letter to the Letter t	☐ Tailoring/tweaking/refining	☐ Increase reach of the EBP (i.e. the number of
The EBP being implemented is:	☐ Changes in packaging or materials	patients receiving the EBP)
	☐ Adding elements	☐ Increase the clinical effectiveness of the EBP (i.e. the
The implementation strategy being modified is:	□ Removing/skipping elements	clinical outcomes of the patients or others receiving
	☐ Shortening/condensing (pacing/timing)	the EBP)
The modification(s) being made is/are:	☐ Lengthening/ extending (pacing/timing)	☐ Increase adoption of the EBP (i.e. the number of
The(-) for thedifferential (-) interest	□ Substituting	clinicians or teachers using the EBP)
The reason(s) for the modification(s) is/are:	☐ Reordering of implementation modules or segments	☐ Increase the acceptability, appropriateness, or
	☐ Spreading (breaking up implementation content over multiple sessions)	feasibility of the implementation effort (i.e. improve
	☐ Integrating parts of the implementation strategy into	the fit between the implementation effort and the needs of those delivering the EBP)
	another strategy (e.g., selecting elements)	Decrease costs of the implementation effort
	☐ Integrating another strategy into the implementation	☐ Improve fidelity to the EBP (i.e. improve the extent to
Module 2: WHAT is modified?	strategy in primary use (e.g. adding an audit/feedback	which the EBP is delivered as intended)
□ Content	component to an implementation facilitation strategy that	☐ Improve sustainability of the EBP (i.e. increase the
Modifications made to content of the implementation strategy itself, or	did not originally include audit/feedback)	chances that the EBP remains in practice after the
that impact how aspects of the implementation strategy are delivered	Repeating elements or modules of the implementation	implementation effort ends)
	strategy	☐ Increase health equity or decrease disparities in EBP
□ Evaluation	☐ Loosening structure	delivery
Modifications made to the way that the implementation strategy is	☐ Departing from the implementation strategy ("drift")	Other (write in here):
evaluated	followed by a return to strategy within the implementation	
☐ Training	encounter	
Modifications to the ways that implementers are trained	☐ Drift from the implementation strategy without returning	
Modifications to the ways that implementers are trained	(e.g., stopped providing consultation, stopped sending	Module 4, Part 2: What is the LEVEL of the rationale
□ Context	feedback reports)	for modification?
Modifications made to the way the overall implementation strategy is	☐ Other (write in here):	
delivered. For Context modifications, specify which of the following was	29	☐ Sociopolitical level (i.e. existing national mandates)
modified:		 Organizational level (i.e. available staffing or
☐ Format (e.g. group vs. individual format for delivering the		materials)
implementation strategy)	Module 3, OPTIONAL Component:	Implementer level (i.e. those charged with leading the
☐ Setting (e.g. delivering the implementation strategy in a new	Relationship to fidelity/core elements?	implementation effort)
clinical or training setting than was originally planned)		 Clinician or Teacher level (i.e. those implementing
☐ Personnel (e.g. having the implementation strategy be	☐ Fidelity Consistent/Core elements or functions preserved	the EBP)
delivered by a systems engineer rather than a clinician	☐ Fidelity Inconsistent/Core elements or functions changed	☐ Patient or Other Recipient level (i.e. those who will
facilitator)	☐ Unknown	ideally benefit from the EBP)
Population (e.g. delivering the implementation strategy to	\$49.0 LY229A302 311170	Other (write in here):
middle managers instead of frontline clinicians)		
Other context modification: write in here:		

Fig. 2

From: The FRAME-IS: a framework for documenting modifications to implementation strategies in healthcare

Module 5, Part 1: WHEN is the modification initiated?	Module 6: WHO participates in the decision to modify?	Module 7: How WIDESPREAD is the modification? (i.e. for whom/what is the modification
☐ Pre-implementation/planning/pilot	□Political leader(s)	made?)
phase	□ Program Leader, Manager, or Administrator	
☐ Implementation phase	□Funder	☐ Individual patient or other recipient for
☐Scale up (i.e. when the EBP is being	☐ Implementer or implementation strategy expert	whom the EBP is being implemented
spread to additional clinics/settings	□Researcher	☐ Group of patients or other recipients for
within your system)	□Clinician(s) or teacher(s) who are being asked	whom the EBP is being implemented
□ Maintenance/Sustainment	to use the EBP being implemented	□ Patients or other recipients that share a
☐ Other (write in here):	Community members	particular characteristic (e.g. all patients
	☐ Patients or other recipients who will be the ultimate target of the EBP being implemented	from a specific language background) Individual clinician or teacher charged with
	Other: write in here:	implementing the EBP
		□Clinic/unit
Module 5, Part 2: Is modification		□Organization
PLANNED?		□ Network system/community
	□Optional: Indicate who makes the ultimate	☐ Specific implementer/facilitator
☐ Planned/Proactive (proactive adaptation)	decision:	☐ Implementation/facilitation team
☐ Planned/Reactive (reactive		
adaptation)		
☐ Unplanned/Reactive (modification)		
☐Other (write in here):		